

## Declaration

i have the name Christine; i am of the Massey family; i live on the land known as Brampton, Ontario, Canada;

1. i am fully competent to make this declaration and i make it voluntarily;
2. i communicate in everyday English only; i am not fluent in legalese;
3. my statements herein are based upon my own knowledge and experience;
4. i was trained and have worked professionally in the past as a biostatistician, with a master's degree in biostatistics earned at the Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto;
5. in May of 2020, i did begin to file "freedom of information requests" (hereafter referred to as "FOIs") with Canadian health and science institutions, for all studies/reports in their possession/custody/control that describe the isolation/purification of the alleged "COVID-19 virus", also referred to as "SARS-COV-2", directly from a sample (bodily fluid, tissue or excrement) taken from a diseased human, where the patient sample was not first combined with any other source of genetic material;
6. i did clarify in my communications that i use the word "isolation" or "purification" in the every-day sense of separating the alleged virus from everything else in a patient sample, and that i was not seeking records where "isolation of SARS-COV-2" refers instead to the so-called "culturing" of something, or the performance of an amplification "test", or the so-called "sequencing" of something;
7. i did also clarify that my FOIs were not limited to records that were authored by people working at the institution in question, and were not limited to records that describe work done by people at the institution in question, but included any such study or report authored by anyone, anywhere;

8. in each FOI, i did require that if any record held by the institution in question matched my description of requested records but was currently available to the public elsewhere, i be given enough information about it so that i may identify and access it with certainty;
9. in many of my communications, i did also clarify that i was not seeking private patient records or records that describe the replication of an alleged virus without host cells, and that i required records of purification as per standard laboratory procedures for the purification of very small things;
10. after the first alleged "variant" was announced in December of 2020, in most, if not all, of my FOI communications i did specify that they applied to any alleged "variants" as well as to the original alleged virus;
11. i did file 2 separate FOIs to the Public Health Agency of Canada; the first was as stated above; the second was specifically regarding purification of the alleged "UK variant", also known as "the alpha variant" or "B.1.1.7" (Exhibit 1, pages 196 and 247 - 248, respectively);
12. i did also file FOI communications with numerous police service corporations including but not limited to the Royal Canadian Mounted Police and the Ontario Provincial Police after agents of said services enforced "COVID-19" rules/legislation/orders;
13. thus far, i have responses from over 3 dozen Canadian institutions and all have failed to provide or cite even 1 record that describes purification of the alleged virus, including any alleged variant, from any patient sample, by anyone, anywhere on the planet, ever; in the majority of responses people did explicitly admit that there is no such record with that institution (Exhibit 1);
14. in their initial response labelled "PHAC-A-2020-000110/TTL" that was sent to me via email on December 7, 2020, the people working at Public Health Agency of Canada did provide me 1 study written by Jared Bullard et al. that had been supported by Manitoba Health, Cadham Provincial Laboratory, the Public Health Agency of Canada

and National Microbiology Laboratory, and with several emails that they did claimed are responsive to my FOI; Jared Bullard did admit in the abstract of his study the well-known fact that “RT- PCR detects RNA, not infectious virus;” the study and the emails provided to me did not describe purification of the alleged virus from a patient sample or from anything else (Exhibit 1, pages 210 - 241);

15. **i did respond via email to the people working at Public Health Agency of Canada on December 7, 2021 and pointed out that none of the provided records describe the separation of the alleged virus from everything else in a patient sample** (Exhibit 1, page 242);
16. in their revised response labelled “PHAC-A-2020-000110/TTL” to that same FOI, that they did email to me on February 2, 2021, the people at Public Health Agency of Canada did indicate that my FOI did result in a “No Records Exist”, and did state that the gold standard to determine the presence of intact virus in patient samples is visible “cytopathic effects” on cells in a cell culture; this is an unscientific and in my view blatantly fraudulent statement; in their letter they also did state that “in the case of SARS-COV-2 isolation” kidney epithelial cells extracted from an African green monkey also known as “Vero cells” “combined with minimal essential medium (MEM) were used, because they are essential to support viral replication and cell growth”; this is a ridiculous, irrational, illogical and nonsensical claim to make about an alleged virus that is alleged to infect humans and not the kidneys of African green monkeys; this response shows horrific negligence and incompetence or worse at the Public Health Agency of Canada, and an utter lack of “COVID-19” science (Exhibit 1, pages 244 - 246);
17. in their revised response they did also state that “PCR further confirms that intact virus is present”, which is a false, ludicrous, unscientific and fraudulent statement (Exhibit 1, page 246);
18. in response to my second FOI communication to the Public Health Agency of Canada, the woman acting as agent who responded did indicate that they were unable to locate any records that describe purification of the alleged alpha/UK variant from any

sample of bodily fluid/tissue taken from a patient, which further demonstrates horrific negligence and incompetence or worse with regards to the state of so-called "science" at the agency; the response letter is labelled "PHAC-A-2020-000393 / TTL" (Exhibit 1, pages 249 - 250);

19. the other Canadian institutions where the people did fail to provide or cite any record matching what i described includes Health Canada, National Research Council of Canada, Royal Canadian Mounted Police, Patented Medicine Prices Review Board, the Ministry of Health in Ontario, Public Health, University Health Network, Alberta Ministry of Health, Alberta Premier's Officer, Ontario Ministry of the Solicitor General which includes the Ontario Provincial Police, Kingston, Frontenac, Lennox and Addington Public Health while Kieran Moore who now acts as Chief Medical Officer of Health for Ontario was still acting as Medical Officer of Health, Dalhousie University, University of Waterloo, University of Ottawa, and all 5 Canadian institutions where people acting as researchers did claim to have "isolated" the alleged COVID-19 virus, which includes the Vaccine and Infectious Disease Organization-international Vaccine Centre also known as ViDO- interVac at University of Saskatchewan, University of Toronto, McMaster University, Mount Sinai Hospital and Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre; i have responses from other institutions as well (Exhibit 1);
20. regarding Health Canada specifically, on June 11th, 2020 at 11:42 AM Barbara Haase, a woman acting as Senior ATiP Analyst, Access to Information and Privacy, did advise in a communication labelled "A-2020-000208/BH" that the people who work at Health Canada would not typically evaluate the type of information i had sought; on June 23rd, 2020 Barbara did state, along with the same file number, that Health Canada's role is only to review evidence provided by sponsors in order to make regulatory decisions to approve products and authorize clinical trials and that i may wish to contact the sponsors of clinical trials and/or companies in order to get the information i was seeking; this shows horrific negligence and incompetence and an utter lack of "COVID-19" science at Health Canada (Exhibit 1, pages 114 and 120 respectively );
21. regarding Mount Sinai Hospital specifically, in a letter dated August 24, 2020, labelled

“Freedom of Information Request # 20-03” and addressed to me from Jesstina McFadden acting as Director, Privacy and Information Access (Interim), Jesstina did tell me that she did engage with “experts at Sinai Health” and that the “experts” did say that isolation/purification is not possible for any virus to their knowledge and that it is not within the scope of current scientific processes (Exhibit 1, pages 134 - 136);

22. in another letter dated September 18, 2020 and labelled “Freedom of Information Request # 20-03”, addressed to me, Jesstina did tell me that she did engage again with “experts at Sinai Health” and that they did claim that isolation/purification of viruses is outside the scope of current scientific processes; these responses from Jesstina highlight the absurdity of virology with “experts” claiming that specific tiny particles exist in abundance and are a threat to humanity but also that those particles cannot be found in their alleged locations and purified using the same techniques that are used to purify other things that are the same size or smaller (Exhibit 1, pages 138 - 139);
23. many people have provided me with additional documents that appear to me to be legitimate freedom of information responses from additional Canadian health and science institutions, in response to the same, or similar, FOIs for records that describe purification of the alleged COVID-19 virus; in most cases i did receive the original, un-redacted communications and redacted the name of the man/woman who filed the FOI myself in order to protect their identity if they did want their name redacted; in every instance the institution did fail to provide or cite even 1 record that describes purification of the alleged virus from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere, ever, or proof of its alleged existence (Exhibit 2);
24. these Canadian institutions include but are not limited to Health Canada, the Public Health Agency of Canada, Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, Institut National de Sante Publique du Quebec, Ministry of Health in British Columbia regarding the alleged “B.1.1.7” aka “Alpha” aka “UK” variant, Centre for Disease Control in British Columbia, Provincial Health Services Authority in British Columbia, Vancouver Coastal Health Authority regarding the alleged Alpha variant, Newfoundland Labrador Department of Health

and Community Services, Department/Ministry of Health in New Brunswick, and McGill University (Exhibit 2);

25. i did also file FOIs to institutions outside of Canada, for records that describe purification of the alleged SARS-COV-2, and did receive responses from numerous thus far, including but not limited to the United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the United States Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, the United States National institute for Allergy and infectious Diseases, the United States Food and Drug Administration, the United States Department of Labor / Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Imperial College London, the Norwegian Directorate of Health, the University of Western Australia and the University of New South Wales in Australia which is/was workplace of the man John Shine who acts/acted as Professor and President of the Australian Academy of Science; all did fail to provide or cite even 1 record that describes purification of the alleged virus from a patient sample (bodily fluid/tissue/excrement), by anyone, anywhere, ever (Exhibit 3);
26. i also did file a FOI with the CDC for records authored by anyone anywhere ever describing purification of the alleged SARS-COV-2 from a cell culture, and in the response letter dated November 17, 2022 labelled “23-00125-FOIA” the people at CDC failed to provide or cite any such record (Exhibit 5, pages 100 - 106);
27. i did also file a FOI with the CDC for records authored by anyone anywhere ever that contain scientific proof of the existence of the alleged SARS-COV-2 or the alleged HIV based on valid controlled experiments using purified particles; in the CDC response letters dated October 20 and October 21, 2022, labelled “23-00007-FOIA” they were unable to do so since none exist, instead they did cite web pages and studies purported to contain information about “SARS-COV-2” or “HIV” but not even purporting to describe valid controlled experiments with purified particles (Exhibit 5, pages 80 - 94);
28. numerous people did provide me with additional documents that appear to me to be legitimate freedom of information responses from additional health, science and

political institutions from outside of Canada, in response to the same, or similar, requests for records that describe purification of the alleged COVID-19 virus, as well as apparent court documents from the Republic of South Africa and Portugal; in most cases I did receive the original, un-redacted communications, and did redact the name of the man/woman who filed the FOI myself in order to protect their identity; in every instance the people working at the institution failed to provide or cite even 1 record that describes purification of the alleged virus from a patient sample, by anyone, anywhere, ever, or proof of its alleged existence (Exhibit 4);

29. these institutions from outside of Canada include but are not limited to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention where people did claim to have “isolated SARS-COV-2”, Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry, National institute of Allergy and infectious Diseases, Department of Health and Human Services, New York State Department of Health, Arizona Department of Health Services, Arkansas Department of Health, California Department of Public Health, Commonwealth of Massachusetts Department of Health, Commonwealth of Virginia Department of General Services, Florida Department of Health, Michigan Department of Health and Human Services, Oregon Health Authority, Oregon Health and Science University, Pennsylvania Department of Health, Washington DC Department of Health, Illinois’ Officer of the Governor, Clemson-University, Wisconsin’s Governor and Department of Health Services, New Zealand’s Ministry of Health, Defence Force, University of Auckland, University of Waikato, University of Otago where people acting as researchers did claim to have “isolated SARS-COV-2”, Australia’s Department of Health, Peter Doherty institute for infection and immunity where people acting as researchers did claim to have “isolated SARS-COV-2”, Commonwealth Scientific and industrial Research Organisation, ACT Government, Government of the Australian Capital Territory / Canberra Health Services, New South Wales Ministry of Health, South Australia Minister for Health and Wellbeing, Western Australia Minister & Department of Health, Argentina’s National Administration of Laboratories and Health institutes "Dr. Carlos Malbrán", Brazil’s Health Regulatory Agency Anvisa and Ministry of Health, the Czech Republic’s Institute of Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Ministry of Health and Univerzita

Karlova, Denmark's Statens Serum Institut, Norway's Ministry of Health and Cares Services and subordinate agency the Directorate of Health, Finland's Institute for Health and Welfare (THL), National Institute of Infectious Diseases "Lazzaro Spallanzani" in Italy where people did claim to have "isolated SARS-COV-2", Lithuania's National Public Health Laboratory, the Netherlands' Ministry of Health, Welfare and Sport, Research Institute for Tropical Medicine at the Phillipines, Ministry of Health in Spain, Council of Medical Research in India where people did claim to have "isolated SARS-COV-2", the United Kingdom's Department of Health and Social Care, Government Office for Science, Medicines & Healthcare Products Regulatory Agency and Health Security Agency, Public Health London Hammersmith and Fulham and University of Warwick, Public Health England, the Republic of Columbia's Ministry of Health and Social Protection, the Republic of Ireland's National Virus Reference Laboratory at University College Dublin and Health Service Executive, the Republic of Serbia's Ministry of Health, Public Health Scotland, Public Health Wales, Slovenia's Department of Health, Faculty of Medicine and Institute of Microbiology and Immunology at the University of Ljubljana, University Medical Centre Ljubljana, and National Laboratory for Health, Environment and Food, Karolinska Institute and Public Health Authority in Sweden, Ministry of Health in the Ukraine, Ministry of Public Health, Clemente Stable Biological Research Institute and Faculty of Chemistry at the University of the Republic (UdelaR) in Uruguay, and the European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control; people working at numerous of these institutions did publicly claim to have isolated the alleged virus and/or they worked on the development and/or approval of various COVID-19 injections/drugs/diagnostic tests and other products; this list is not complete (Exhibit 4);

30. in a letter dated March 1, 2021 and labelled "21-00464-FOIA", addressed to a friend of mine who has assisted greatly with this freedom of information project, the man Roger Andoh, acting as FOIA Officer at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), did indicate that a man or woman acting as "subject matter expert" at the CDC had offered an excuse for why the CDC has no record of the alleged SARS-COV-2 being purified from any alleged host; the excuse was that

separation of an alleged virus from everything else is outside of what is possible in virology because viruses need cells to replicate; this makes no sense because purification does not involve replication, and also because if a particle is not able to leave a cell then it is not able to infect another cell or another host (Exhibit 4, pages 1386 - 1390);

31. all of these records are publicly available on my website and in my public-access google drive (<https://tinyurl.com/IsolationFOIs>) and as of January 2, 2023, 211 institutions in roughly 40 countries are represented, with multiple responses from several institutions, for example 12 responses involving the CDC and related to the alleged SARS-COV-2;
32. according to men and women who act as virologists, government agents, "vaccine" manufacturers, reporters, etc. the alleged SARS-COV-2 is an infectious, replication-competent, obligate intracellular parasite that consists of a genome and a proteinaceous shell that is encoded by the genome, that spreads from host to host causing disease via natural modes of exposure;
33. it is necessary to ensure that a tiny particle alleged to be a "virus" has RNA or DNA, and to sequence and characterize it, so as not to confuse that tiny particle with other tiny particles that may appear similar in an electron microscopy image;
34. particles alleged to be a "virus" must be purified so that the provenance of the sequenced, or allegedly "sequenced", material is known to be those specific particles and not merely declared as such;
35. purification of particles should be confirmed via electron microscopy imaging and not merely assumed;
36. particles alleged to be a "virus" must be purified before reliable characterization can take place, for the same reason that you would not weigh yourself by getting on a scale with friends and family members;

37. particles alleged to be a “virus” must be purified before they can be scientifically investigated via controlled experiments;
38. purified particles suspected of being a “virus” would be the proper independent variable to use in a valid controlled experiment that investigates whether the particles cause disease via natural modes of exposure; no other factors should vary between the experimental and control group;
39. in science, valid and adequate controls are necessary when performing procedures such as sequencing and characterization, and when conducting experiments; otherwise, instead of science, pseudoscience takes place;
40. procedures must be valid and logical, otherwise even with controls pseudoscience and illogic takes place;
41. science entails the testing of repeatable and falsifiable hypotheses using valid controlled experiments;
42. repeated controlled experiments that test falsifiable hypotheses are necessary for determination of disease causation by particles alleged to be a “virus”;
43. purification of particles alleged to be a “virus”, from many patient samples, and then characterization, sequencing and repeated controlled experiments are necessary before one can logically and scientifically conclude that those particles are circulating in humans and are the cause of an allegedly new disease, regardless of whether the particles are suspected of being natural viruses or manmade/”gain of function”/bioweapon viruses;
44. it is impossible to validate any surrogate “test” without a valid gold standard;
45. it is impossible to validate any “test” that is claimed to “confirm” the presence of an alleged “virus” or a “viral infection” or a “viral” disease before the alleged

- “virus” has been proven to exist;
46. it is impossible to validate any “test” claimed to “confirm” a “viral disease” before the alleged “virus” has been 1) proven to exist, and 2) proven to cause the disease;
47. published studies wherein authors claimed to have “isolated” the alleged “COVID-19 virus” aka “SARS-COV-2”, or any other alleged “coronavirus”, including the alleged “SARS-COV-1”, do not describe isolation/purification of the particles alleged to be a “virus”, from patient samples or from anything else, and then logically/scientifically show the existence of an alleged “virus”; they also do not show that any alleged “virus” has replicated or caused anything to happen to any thing;
48. published studies wherein authors claimed to have “sequenced” the alleged “SARS-COV-2”, or any other alleged “coronavirus” including the alleged “SARS-COV-1”, do not describe extraction of genetic material from a purified sample of the particles alleged to be a “virus” or discovery/determination of a “viral genome”; they describe the construction of a meaningless in silico (computer) sequence that they call a "viral genome" but has never been shown to correspond to anything in the physical realm, let alone any specific particle fitting the definition of a “virus”;
49. the FOI responses described above show that the people working in hundreds of governments and health/science institutions in over 3 dozen countries have uniformly failed to provide or cite even 1 record that describes purification of the alleged “SARS-COV-2” or any alleged “variant” from a sample of bodily fluid, tissue or excrement taken from a sick patient, by anyone, anywhere on Earth;
50. colleagues and i did also file FOIs with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and various other institutions including the Public Health Agency of Canada, seeking records that describe isolation/purification of other alleged coronaviruses from a sample taken from a sick patient, and the people at each

- institution did fail to provide or cite any such record authored by anyone, anywhere on Earth, ever (**Exhibit 6**);
51. for example in the CDC's response letter dated December 30, 2020 and labelled "#21-00394-FOIA", the people at the CDC confessed that they were unable to find any record pertaining to purification of the alleged 2003 SARS coronavirus from a clinical sample (**Exhibit 6**, pages 2 - 3);
52. i did file many FOIs with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention for records regarding purification of various alleged viruses including but not limited to adenovirus, avian influenza virus, influenza virus, Ebola virus, Epstein-Barr virus, Hepatitis B or C virus, HIV, HPV, lentivirus, Nipah virus, Marburg virus, MERS virus, measles virus, monkeypox virus, polio virus, rabies virus, smallpox virus, West Nile virus, zika virus, any alleged virus that is allegedly addressed by a so-called "vaccine" on the CDC's "vaccination" schedule, and in every instance the people at the CDC did fail to provide or cite any such record authored by anyone, anywhere on Earth, ever (**Exhibit 7**);
53. i have in my possession FOI responses from additional institutions, obtained by myself and others, in regards to records of various alleged (non-corona) viruses being found in and purified from alleged hosts, or shown to exist via the scientific method; and in every case the institution in question failed to provide or cite any such record (**Exhibit 8**);
54. i did file a FOI with the Public Health Agency of Canada for any record that describes isolation/purification of any alleged virus that is alleged to infect humans, and the response from Andrea Burrows dated December 20, 2021 and labelled "PHAC-A-2021-000501 / CS" did include zero responsive records and zero citations of responsive records and was nonsensical; Andrea did imply that my FOI excluded records wherein any substance was combined with the patient sample when in fact i did only exclude records involving the addition of sources of genetic material; Andrea did then describe a logical fallacy known as "begging the question", and did

- then reference detection of genetic material referred to as “viral” based on unscientific, irrational assumption and not on science (Exhibit 8, pages 105 - 109);
55. since May 2000, the people working at hundreds of governmental and health/science institutions at 40 countries have all failed when challenged to provide or cite any study or report published by anyone, anywhere on Earth, that describes the alleged “SARS-COV-2” being isolated/purified directly from the fluids of a sick man or woman, and then characterized and sequenced and proven to cause disease in humans or animals;
56. governments and health/science institutions, and the people running those governments and institutions, have shown that they do not adhere to the scientific method and are unable to prove the existence of the alleged “SARS-COV-2” or any alleged “variant”; they have shown that cannot even prove that the alleged “viral genomes” exist anywhere aside from computers and imaginations;
57. governments and health/science institutions worldwide and the people working there have demonstrated that they are unable to scientifically/logically demonstrate that the alleged “SARS-COV-2” or any alleged “variant” is the cause of any disease, new or old;
58. governments and health/science institutions worldwide have demonstrated that they have absolutely no “COVID-19” science whatsoever;
59. these worldwide failures/inabilities to produce any scientific evidence are consistent with the illogical, irrational, pseudoscientific methods described by virologists in all of the “SARS-COV-2 isolation/sequencing” publications that i have read, wherein nothing is isolated and no “virus” is ever shown to exist;
60. all of the above-mentioned FOI responses and court documents are publicly available on my website at the following three (3) URLs:

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-around-the-world-have-no-record-of-sars-cov-2-isolation-purification/>

<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/fois-reveal-that-health-science-institutions-have-no-record-of-any-virus-having-been-isolated-purified-virology-isnt-a-science/>;

61. colleagues and i did also send FOIs to various institutions, including but not limited to the CDC and the University of Toronto, and emails to men and women who act as “SARS-COV-2” researchers, seeking records/answers pertaining to the methodologies used to allegedly “isolate” and “sequence” the alleged SARS-COV-2; these communications and the responses we received are also freely accessible on my website (<https://www.fluoridefreepeel.ca/do-virologists-perform-valid-control-experiments-is-virology-a-science/>), and they also highlight the absurdity of virology and the negligence/incompetence or worse that is taking place in/at these institutions (Exhibit 5);
62. all “COVID-19” tests, diagnoses, statistics, projections, models, analyses, injections, restrictions, requirements, “measures”, “counter-measures” and interferences of every kind are invalid and ultimately based on delusion, misconception, misinterpretation, ignorance, incompetence, incomplete information and/or intentional fraud;
63. it is very clear to me that virologists practice pseudoscience, and that people acting as so-called “scientists”, “public health” officials, politicians and mainstream news reporters have misled people across the world;

i affirm under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct;

written in good faith, without recourse;

date: January 2, 2023  
by: Christine of the Massey family.